[redacted]

From: Katy Young [redacted]
Sent: 13 September 2026 12:03
To: Crown Casework
Cc: wpwsobjection; calummillermp; [redacted]
Subject: Objection To Asylum Site in MOD Bicester / Piddington (PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843)

[redacted]

Good morning,

I'm writing to strongly object to the proposed asylum site - PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843 in MOD Bicester, near Piddington, Oxfordshire.

[redacted] I'm shocked that such a proposal is even being considered.

There are a strong number of economic, ecological and community-based reasons why this should not go ahead.

Firstly, the Home Office has not completed the sufficient surveys to ensure risk-assessment has thoroughly been carried out. This is proven with gaps and vagueness in documentation.

To house over 1,000 unvetted asylum seekers, there needs to be robust confidence in place, and from the surveys alone, there is clearly not.

The B4011 borders the site and is a very fast road. I have attempted to cross this many times while cycling and it is NOT safe or suitable for anyone, whether that's UK people or asylum seekers.

There is a history of collisions on that road and to house this many asylum seekers with no transport or safe routes in and out of the site is completely irresponsible.

In 2003 this site was refused the same proposal - on the grounds that the independent planning inspector deemed it is unsuitable. I would argue that it's even more unsuitable today.

The fact there is no power or water on the site is a huge drain on public money - the Government already has no money, and you've claimed you will be building large-scale generators to facilitate this site. This is absurd and a complete waste of the tax payer's money.

The ecological issues on the site are damning. You will be stripping out and disrupting local wildlife that is centuries old, to create a site that has never been intended for accommodation. A large MOD unit is not accommodation. No asylum seeker will want to stay there, and therefore your 'logging in and out' process will fail. The asylum seekers will disappear into society and will become another huge problem safety wise, which you will be causing.

The window of consultation is an embarrassing 11 days, much less than the standard time allowed for these kind of proposals, and none of the community members have been consulted or collaborated with. For a site of such scale and such rapid acceleration, pushing it through without proper consent, review or community confidence is a guaranteed way to cause conflict. You will have seen the global controversy this proposed site has caused, and I'm strongly encouraging you to question why those opinions exist.

Piddington is a village of only 350 residents, to house over 1,000 asylum seekers there with nothing to do, no adequate safe routes, no street lighting, no procedure to vet their criminal history and no consultation with the surrounding villages is absurd. Women and children will be stripped of freedom and living their lives in fear. [redacted] we are disgusted by this proposal. It is wholly unsuitable in such a quiet rural location with nothing for the asylum seekers to do. It is a mental health episode both on the aslyum seekers side and the side of the current community waiting to explode.

I strongly urge to reconsider a different location and think about the dire consequences that will follow should this go ahead.

Regards